

Global Synchronous Pulse Width Modulation

Feng Gao

School of Electrical Engineering, Shandong University

fgao@sdu.edu.cn

19 May, 2015

电网智能化调度与控制教育部重点实验室



Outline

- Why need global synchronous PWM (GSPWM)?
- What is GSPWM?
- Principle and Realization of Global Synchronous PWM (GSPWM)
- Performance Evaluation
- Potential Application Areas





- The number of grid-tied converters has being increased dramatically.
- The injected current from gridtied converter is not purely sinusoidal, which contains dc component, fundamental frequency component, low order harmonics and high order harmonics.
- The current harmonics will accumulate at PCC, worsen the voltage quality and induce the additional losses.





- The passive and active power filters can only eliminate the low order current harmonics in theory.
- High frequency harmonics will bring serious problems:
 - Increased power dissipation because the high frequency harmonics will cause serious skin effect.
 - Increased copper loss of transformer because of the skin effect.
 - Increased iron core loss of transformer.

High frequency hamonics





 Increased flux leakage of transformer and it will cause serious EMI.

■Part of high frequency harmonics will be injected into the utility grid.

■ Reduce the voltage quality of whole grid.

■ Influence the communication channel, such as PLC.

■ Influence the normal operation of equipments connected to PCC directly.

• High frequency hamonics

电网智能化调度与控制教育部重点实验室





There is no solution for attenuating the high frequency current harmonics.

Any grid-tied pulse-width switched converter will produce the high frequency current harmonics.

The highly penetrated power electronics converters in grid will produce the severe power quality issue, which cannot be solved by now.









- The methods for reducing the high frequency harmonics of individual inverter will unavoidably increase the system cost and complexity.
- The high frequency harmonics are still randomly accumulated in the grid.

Global Synchronous Pulse Width Modulation

Is there a practical method to reduce the accumulated high frequency harmonics among the distributed converters?





Previously, there is no method to synchronize the PWM sequences of multiple independent converters.

Traditionally, the cost, speed, reliability of communication system cannot afford such high level requirements for synchronizing the PWM sequences.
 The resonant frequency of digital controller indeed will vary depending on the working condition.





GSPWM can be employed among the distributed converters to minimize the accumulated high frequency harmonics.

- Only need simple communication channels;
- Does not influence the normal operation of converters;
- Global synchronous unit (GSU) is assumed to calculate the optimal phase-shift angle and synchronous frequency.





Practical Challenges Unequal dc-link voltage Unequal switching frequency Unequal filter impedance and line impedance



The current ripples of distributed inverters are different.

- Technical Problems
 - How to calculate the optimal phase shifts when the number of inverters is large and their parameters are different?
 - How to keep the optimal phase shifts unchanged during operation?



Solution of Problem 1:

- ✓ Receive parameters of inverters;
- Calculate optimal interleaved switching angles;
- Sends optimal interleaved switching angles to inverters;
- Solution of Problem 2:
- \checkmark Calculate the synchronous frequency
- \checkmark Send the synchronization signals.

Global Synchronous Unit (GSU) is proposed to solve these two problems.

Solution of Problem 1

The mathematical model is:

$$\min I_{\text{hsum}} = f(\varphi_{\text{PWM1},\dots}, \varphi_{\text{PWMN}})$$
s.t. $0^{\circ} \leq \varphi_{\text{PWMM}} \leq 360^{\circ}$, M=1,...,N

$$I_{\text{hsum}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{f=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{M=1}^{N} I_{\text{hMf}} \cos(\varphi_{hMf} + \theta_{hMf})\right)^2}{+\sum_{f=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{M=1}^{N} I_{\text{hMf}} \sin(\varphi_{\text{hMf}} + \theta_{hMf})\right)^2}} = f(\varphi_{PWM1}, \dots, \varphi_{PWMN})$$

This is a large-scale nonlinear optimization problem. Evolutionary computation is necessary. And Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO) is employed.

Solution of Problem 2

The mathematical model is:

$$\max I_{\text{hsum}} = f(\varphi_{\text{PWM1},\dots,\varphi_{\text{PWMN}}})$$
s.t. $\varphi_{\text{PWMMbest}} - \Delta \varphi_{\text{Mmax}} \leq \varphi_{\text{PWMM}} \leq \varphi_{\text{PWMMbest}} + \Delta \varphi_{\text{Mmax}}, M=1,\dots,N$

$$I_{\text{hsum}} = \sqrt{\sum_{f=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{M=1}^{N} I_{\text{hMf}} \cos(\varphi_{hMf} + \theta_{hMf})\right)^{2}} + \sum_{f=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{M=1}^{N} I_{\text{hMf}} \sin(\varphi_{\text{hMf}} + \theta_{hMf})\right)^{2}} = f(\varphi_{PWM1},\dots,\varphi_{PWMN})$$

The synchronous frequency can be determined according to the allowed communication speed.

Gradually Changing of Phase-Shift Carrier

The phase shift of carrier will change suddenly when controller receives the synchronization signal at t_0 . The sudden change of carrier may cause the serious output distortion.

Principle Summary

✓ GSU calculates the optimal phase shift angles and synchronization

GSPWM does not change the normal operation of

distributed inverters.

Shift Phase

GSPWM does not need additional high speed communication system.

Normal Mode

Performance Evaluation

Experimental Prototype

Three inverters with the same operation parameters are used to verify the theory.

 $f_{\rm c}$ =10kHz, f_0 =50Hz, $V_{\rm d}$ =200V, L=3.5mH.

GSPWM is not employed.

Waveforms of $i_1(C2)$, $i_2(C3)$, $i_3(C4)$, $i_{sum}(C1)$ at point A:

Three inverters with the same operation parameters are used to verify the theory.

 $f_{\rm c}$ =10kHz, f_0 =50Hz, $V_{\rm d}$ =200V, L=3.5mH.

GSPWM is not employed.

Waveforms of $i_1(C2)$, $i_2(C3)$, $i_3(C4)$, $i_{sum}(C1)$ at point B:

Three inverters with the same operation parameters are used to verify the theory.

 $f_{c}=10$ kHz, $f_{0}=50$ Hz, $V_{d}=200$ V, L=3.5mH.

GSPWM is employed.

Performance Evaluation

Μ	V _{dcM} /V	L _M /mH	f _{сМ} /kHz	P _M /W	Optimal Phase Shift
1	210	3.4	20	160	0°
2	210	4.4	20	110	80°
3	190	4.4	10	220	201°
4	190	3.4	10	275	111°

Waveforms of $i_1(C1)$, $i_2(C2)$, $i_3(C3)$, $i_4(C4)$, $i_{sum}(F1)$:

Potential Application Areas

 Reduce the output filter to achieve the more cost-effective solution

 Reduce the switching frequency to increase the operational efficiency

Large-scale PV station with multiple same inverters assumed

AC power electronics microgrid
 DC microgrid

Thank you for your attention!